

1 NORMAN L. SMITH [SBN 106344]  
nsmith@swsslaw.com  
2 TANYA M. SCHIERLING [SBN 206984]  
tschierling@swsslaw.com  
3 SOLOMON WARD SEIDENWURM & SMITH, LLP  
401 B Street, Suite 1200  
4 San Diego, California 92101  
(t) 619.231.0303  
5 (f) 619.231.4755

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fourte Design & Development, LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**10** Fourte Design & Development, LLC, a California limited liability company,

Case No. '14CV3035 JAH KSC

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

11 Plaintiff,

v.

13 Oplink Communications, Inc. a  
14 Delaware corporation,

15 Defendant.

17 Plaintiff Fourte Design & Development, LLC alleges as follows against  
18 Defendant Oplink Communications, Inc.:

## PARTIES

20           1. Plaintiff Fourte Design & Development, LLC (“Plaintiff”) is a  
21 California limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the  
22 State of California, with offices at 7139 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 250, Pleasanton,  
23 California 94566.

24        2. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that  
25 Defendant Oplink Communications, Inc. (“Defendant”) is a corporation  
26 incorporated in and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its  
27 corporate headquarters located at 46335 Landing Parkway, Fremont, CA 94538.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent  
laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. sections 1, *et seq.*

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
sections 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant and venue in this  
judicial district is proper because, on information and belief, Defendant engages in  
continuous and systematic business within the United States and within this judicial  
district and/or Defendant has placed infringing products into the stream of  
commerce by selling and/or offering to sell products into the United States and this  
judicial district with knowledge that such products would be shipped into and/or  
used in the United States and this judicial district.

**THE PATENT-IN-SUIT**

6. U.S. Patent No. 6,872,010, entitled “Fiber Optic Connector Release  
Mechanism” (the “‘010 Patent”) was duly and legally granted on March 29, 2005.  
A true and correct copy of the ‘010 Patent is attached as **Exhibit A**.

7. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff is and has been the owner,  
by assignment, of all right, title and interest in the ‘010 Patent.

**COUNT I—INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,872,010**

8. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 7 above.

9. On information and belief, Defendant has been and is now directly  
infringing the ‘010 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. section 271(a) in the State of  
California, this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States by designing,  
making, manufacturing, operating, using, offering for sale, and/or selling within the  
United States and/or importing into the United States, one or more devices (the  
“Infringing Devices”) that are covered by the inventions claimed in the ‘010 Patent.

10. The Infringing Devices include, without limitation, the following  
Oplink products:

- 1           a.     XFP products designated as:  
2                   TXPXGDS2x  
3                   TXPXGGI2x  
4                   TXP1GHL2s  
5                   TXPCXGHI2x  
6                   TXPCXGJL2x  
7                   TXP1XGGI2xx  
8                   TXP1XGHL2xx
- 9           b.     CFP2 products designated as:  
10                  CP21C04L2C000E1G  
11                  CP21C04L2C000E2G  
12                  CP21C0XSRC000E1G  
13                  CP21C0XSRC000E2G
- 14           c.     CFP4 products designated as:  
15                  CP41C04L2C000E1G  
16                  CP41C04L2C000E2G

17       11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that  
18 Defendant has been and is now indirectly infringing the '010 Patent pursuant to 35  
19 U.S.C. section 271(b) and/or (c) by intentionally inducing infringement and/or  
20 contributing to the infringement of the '010 Patent in the State of California, this  
21 judicial district and elsewhere in the United States by providing and/or selling the  
22 Infringing Devices to customers and/or users of those products.

23       12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the  
24 Infringing Devices were not and are not suitable for any substantial non-infringing  
25 use and that Defendant had and has knowledge that the Infringing Devices were and  
26 are designed to be used in a manner that infringes the '010 Patent.

27       13. Defendant is liable for infringement of the '010 Patent pursuant to 35  
28 U.S.C. section 271(a), (b) and/or (c).

14. Plaintiff has been damaged and injured by Defendant's infringement of  
the '010 Patent. Because of its infringing acts and for its unauthorized use of the  
inventions claimed in the '010 Patent, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for damages in  
an amount no less than a reasonable royalty.

15. Defendant's infringement of the '010 Patent has caused and will  
16 continue to cause irreparable harm to Plaintiff, for which Plaintiff has no adequate  
17 remedy at law, unless Defendant is permanently enjoined from further infringement.

## **PRAAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

10           1. Adjudging that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '010  
11 Patent:

12        2. Permanently enjoining Defendant and its officers, agents, servants,  
13 employees, attorneys and all others in active concert or participation with them from  
14 further infringement of Plaintiff's patent rights;

15        3. Awarding Plaintiff damages adequate to compensate it for Defendant's  
16 infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty;

17        4. Awarding Plaintiff enhanced damages for Defendant's willful  
18 infringement:

19 || 5. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

20        6. Awarding Plaintiffs its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees as allowed  
21 by law; and

22       7. Awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just  
23 and equitable.

**24** DATED: December 31, 2014      SOLOMON WARD SEIDENWURM & SMITH, LLP

Bv: /s/TANYA M. SCHIERLING  
[tschierling@swsslaw.com](mailto:tschierling@swsslaw.com)  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Fourte Design & Development, LLC

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial of all claims triable by a jury.

DATED: December 31, 2014      SOLOMON WARD SEIDENWURM & SMITH, LLP

Bv: /s/TANYA M. SCHIERLING  
tschierling@swsslaw.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Fourte Design & Development, LLC